## Case3/007/rmd-01827-SI Document 112504 Filed 009/019/009 Pagge1 106 83

1	Francis O. Scarpulla (41059) Craig C. Corbitt (83251)		
2	Judith A. Zahid (215418)		
3	Patrick B. Clayton (240191) Qianwei Fu (242669)	I I D	
4	ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400	LLP	
5	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 693-0700		
6	Facsimile: (415) 693-0770 fscarpulla@zelle.com		
7	ccorbitt@zelle.com		
8	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Indirect-Purcha	ser Plaintiffs and Class Members	
9	Michael R. Lazerwitz ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Jeremy J. Calsyn (205062)		
10	Lee F. Berger (222756) CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTO	N LLP	
11	2000 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006		
12	Telephone: (202) 974-1500 Facsimile: (202) 974-1999		
13	mlazerwitz@cgsh.com		
14	Attorneys for Defendants LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG Display America, Inc., Acting on Behalf of All Defendants		
15		TEC DICTRICT COURT	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17			
18	SAN FRAN	NCISCO DIVISION	
19	IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	) Case No. C07-1827 SI	
20		) MDL No. 1827 )	
21		) JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ) ORDER REGARDIG PAGE LIMITS FOR	
22	This Document Relates to:	REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF INDIRECT- PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR	
23	ALL INDIRECT-PURCHASER ACTIONS	CLASS CERTIFICATION	
24			
25		<i>)</i>	
26			
27			
28			

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF INDIRECT-PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

## Case NO77 rod - 01827-SI Document 12504 Filed 009/019/009 Page 220683

1	The parties respectfully request that the following order be entered to modify the page		
2	limitations governing the Reply Brief in support of Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for Class		
3	Certification.		
4	WHEREAS this antitrust litigation is a multi-district litigation proceeding;		
5	WHEREAS the Local rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of		
6	California, Civil Local Rules 7-2 and 7-4, would otherwise govern the page limits of the Reply Brie		
7	in support of Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification;		
8	WHEREAS on August 4, 2009, the parties stipulated (Docket #1145) and on August 5, 2009		
9	the Court ordered (Docket #1146), that the page limit for Defendants' joint brief in opposition to		
10	Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification, due August 10, 2009, shall be 65 pages		
11	WHEREAS, the August 4, 2009 joint stipulation provides that Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs		
12	shall inform the Defendants on how many pages Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs need after reading		
13	Defendants' Opposition.		
14	NOW THEREFORE, the Undersigned Parties, acting by and through their respective counse		
15	of record, hereby stipulate and agree that:		
16	The page limit for the Reply Brief in support of Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for		
17	Class Certification, due September 17, 2009, shall be 55 pages.		
18	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
19		Respectfully submitted,	
20	Dated: September 9, 2009	ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP	
21			
22		By: /s/ Francis O. Scarpulla	
23		Francis O. Scarpulla (41059) 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104	
24		Telephone: (415) 693-0700 Facsimile: (415) 693-0770	
25		fscarpulla@zelle.com	
26			
27			
28			
		_	

## Case3/007rmd-01827-SI Document 12504 Filed 009/019/009 Page330683

1	Dated: September 9, 2009	THE ALIOTO LAW FIRM
2		By: /s/ Joseph M. Alioto
3		Joseph M. Alioto (42680)
4		555 California Street, 31st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104
5		Telephone: (415) 434-8900 Facsimile: (415) 434-9200
6		sexton@alioto.com
7		Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs and Class Members
8	Datade Santambar 0, 2000	CLEADY COTTLIED STEEN & HAMILTON LLD
9	Dated: September 9, 2009	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
10		By: /s/ Michael R. Lazerwitz
11		Michael R. Lazerwitz ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
12		Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 974-1500
13		Facsimile: (202) 974-1999 mlazerwitz@cgsh.com
14		Attorneys for Defendants LG Display Co., Ltd. and LG
15		Display America, Inc., Acting on Behalf of All Defendants
16		
17	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45	
18	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of	
19	perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories.	
20	Dated: September 9, 2009	By: <u>/s/ Judith A. Zahid</u> Judith A. Zahid
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Q AA .
22	Dated:, 2009	Jusan Delaton
23		THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge
24		Office States District stage
25	3218698v1	
26		
27		
28		
		2